$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	TERRY GODDARD Attorney General Firm No. 14000	
3	ANN-MARIE ANDERSON	
.4	State Bar No. 016113 Assistant Attorney General	
5	1275 West Washington, CIV/LES Phoenix, Arizona 85007-2926 Talaphana: (602) 542, 7671	
6	Telephone: (602) 542-7671 Fax: (602) 364-3202 Attorneys for the State	
7	Attorneys for the State	
8	BEFORE THE ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD	
9	In the Matter of:	· I .
10	KEVEN DEAN BROCKBANK, M.D.	Case No. MD-04-1131 Case No. MD- 04-1038
11	Holder of License No. 29044	CONSENT AGREEMENT FOR
12	For the Practice Medicine In the State of Arizona,	SURRENDER OF ACTIVE LICENSE
13	:	
14	CONSENT AGREEMENT	
15	RECITALS	
16	In the interest of a prompt and judicious settlement of the above-captioned matter before	
, 1.7	the Arizona Medical Board ("Board") and consistent with the public interest, statutory	
18	requirements and responsibilities of the Board and pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(F)(5) and	
19	A.R.S. § 32-1401 et seq., Keven D. Brockbank, M.D. holder of license number 29044 to	
20	practice allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona ("Respondent") and the Board enter into the	
21	following Recitals, Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order ("Consent Agreement") as	
22	the final disposition of this matter.	
23	Respondent acknowledges that he has read and understands everything contained	
24	in the Consent Agreement and has had the opportunity to discuss this Consent Agreement with	
25	an attorney and has done so or chooses not to do so. Respondent admits to all Findings of Fact	
26	contained in the Consent Agreement. Resp	condent voluntarily enters into this Consent Agreemen

for the purpose of avoiding the expense and uncertainty of an administrative hearing.

- 2. Respondent understands that he has a right to a public administrative hearing concerning each allegation set forth in the above-captioned matter, at which administrative hearing he could present evidence and cross-examine witnesses. By entering into this Consent Agreement, Respondent freely and voluntarily relinquishes all rights to such a administrative hearing, as well as all rights of rehearing, review, reconsideration, appeal, judicial review or any other administrative and/or judicial action, concerning the matters set forth herein.
- 3. Respondent understands that by entering into this Consent Agreement,
 Respondent voluntarily relinquishes any rights to challenge in state or federal court this Consent
 Agreement in its entirety or any portion thereof as issued by the Board and waives any other
 cause of action related thereto or arising from the Consent Agreement.
- 4. Respondent acknowledges and understands that this Consent Agreement will not become effective until approved by the Board and signed by the Board's Executive Director or designee.
- 5. All admissions made by Respondent in regards to these matters are solely for final disposition of these matters and any subsequently related administrative proceedings or civil litigation involving the Board and Respondent. Therefore, admissions by Respondent are not intended or made for any other use, such as in the context of another state or federal regulatory agency proceeding, civil or criminal proceeding, in the State of Arizona or any other state or federal court.
- 6. Respondent understands this Consent Agreement deals with Board Investigations
 Case No. MD-04-1131and Case No. MD- 04-1038 involving allegations of unprofessional
 conduct against Respondent. The investigation into these allegations against Respondent shall be
 concluded upon the Board's adoption of this Consent Agreement.
- 7. Respondent understands that this Consent Agreement does not constitute a dismissal or resolution of other matters currently pending before the Board, if any, and does not constitute any waiver, express or implied, of the Board's statutory authority or jurisdiction

///

regarding any other pending or future investigation, action or proceeding. Respondent also understands that acceptance of this Consent Agreement does not preclude any other agency, subdivision or officer of this state from instituting any other civil or criminal proceedings with respect to the conduct that is the subject of this Consent Agreement.

- 8. Respondent acknowledges and agrees that, upon signing this agreement and returning this document to the Board's Executive Director, Respondent may not revoke his acceptance of the Consent Agreement or make any modifications to the document, regardless of whether the Consent Agreement has been issued by the Executive Director. Any modifications to this original document are ineffective and void unless mutually approved by the parties.
- 9. Respondent further understands that this Consent Agreement, once approved and signed by all parties, shall constitute a public record of disciplinary action against his license to practice medicine, which may be publicly disseminated as a formal action of the Board, and shall be reported as required by law to the National Practioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank
- 10. Respondent understands that any violation of this Consent Agreement constitutes unprofessional conduct under A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(r)([v]iolating a formal order, probation, consent agreement or stipulation issued or entered into by the board or its executive director under the provisions of this chapter) and shall result in disciplinary action under A.R.S. § 32-1451 et seq.
- 11. If any part of the Consent Agreement is later declared void or otherwise unenforceable, the remainder of the Order in its entirety shall remain in full force and effect.
- 12. The parties mutually understand and agree that this order constitutes a final, binding decision of this matter under investigation by the Board and referenced above.

1	Reviewed and Accepted this \(\frac{1}{2} \) day of \(\frac{1}{2} \), 2004 by:	
2	Howard	
3	Keven D. Brockbank, M.D. Respondent	
4	Reviewed and Approved as to Form this \(\frac{17}{2} \) day of \(\frac{1}{2} \), 2004 by:	
5	Reviewed and Approved as to Form this v day of v 1, 2004 by.	
6		
7	(Signature) Counsel for Respondent (if any)	
8		
9	(Print Name)	
10	Counsel for Respondent (if any)	
11	FINDINGS OF FACT	
12	1. The Board is the duly constituted authority for the regulation and control of the	
1		
13	practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona.	
14	2. Respondent is the holder of license number 29044 for the practice of allopathic	
15	medicine in the State of Arizona.	
16	Investigation MD-04-1131	
17	Patient J.S.	
18	3. On or about September 7, 2004, the Board received a complaint from	
19	female patient ("J.S.") stating that Respondent prescribed and administered prescription-only	
20	medication, including narcotics, without first conducting a physical examination of J.S. In	
21	response, the Board initiated an investigation and assigned case number MD-04-1131 which	
22	established the facts and circumstances as described herein.	
23	4. On or about October 22, 2003, J.S. presented to Respondent at his office as	
24	a patient who suffers from chronic pain attributable to her migraine headaches and multiple	
25	sclerosis. Although Respondent prescribed narcotic pain medication for J.S., he did not give he	
26	a physical examination, take a patient history, weigh the patient or conduct blood or urine tests,	

as the standard of care requires.

- 5. J.S. appeared at Respondent's office for additional pain management treatment for approximately five (5) additional visits in late 2003.
- 6. J.S. appeared at Respondent's office for additional pain management treatment for approximately four (4) additional visits during the first half of 2004.
- 7. Respondent made "house calls" to J.S.'s residence approximately eighteen (18) times in late 2003 and the first eight (8) months of 2004. Most, if not all of these "house calls" were for the stated purpose of treating J.S.'s debilitating headaches with injections of pain medications (narcotics).
- 8. J.S. stated that during these house calls, Respondent massaged her and made inappropriate sexual advances, including inappropriate touching of J.S. Respondent also made inappropriate sexual comments to J.S.
- 9. The standard of care requires that in order for a physician to prescribe medication, the physician must obtain and record a detailed patient and family history and perform a minimum physical examination consisting of recording blood pressure, weight, allergies, and a urinalysis. Also, a patient needs to be informed of the risks and benefits of taking the medication.
- 10. Respondent fell below the standard of care in that prior to prescribing medication (narcotics) to J.S., he did *not* obtain and record a detailed patient and family history and perform a minimum physical examination consisting of recording blood pressure, weight, allergies, and a urinalysis. Further, Respondent did not inform J.S. of the risks and benefits of taking the medication.
- 11. The standard of care requires that a physician shall not make sexual advances or comments of a sexual nature to his patient.
- 12. Respondent fell below the standard of care when he made sexual advances and comments of a sexual nature to his patient, J.S.

Investigation MD-04-1038

Patient B.P.

•

- 13. On or about August 17, 2004, the Board received a facsimile from the Community Counseling Center, Show Low, Arizona ("Community Counseling Center") stating that Respondent engaged in inappropriate sexual conduct with a current female patient ("B.P."). In response, the Board initiated an investigation and assigned case number MD-04-1038 which established the facts and circumstances as described herein.
- 14. On or about June 15, 2004, Respondent began treating B.P. for pain associated with the conditions of fibromyalgia, arthritis and possible degenerative spine disease. During this visit, Respondent ordered an MRI of B.P.'s spinal area. Respondent also prescribed Percocet to manage B.P.'s pain. Although Respondent prescribed narcotic pain medication for J.S., he did not give her a physical examination, take a patient history, weigh the patient or conduct blood or urine tests, as the standard of care requires.
- 15. On or about July 7, 2004, B.P. returned to Respondent's office and told Respondent that the dosage of a particular pain medication, MS Contin, was not strong enough. Respondent prescribed for B.P. at least two (2) additional narcotics for pain management, Methadone and Percocet.
- 16. On or about July 23, 2004, B.P. returned to Respondent's office and requested an increase in the dosage of her medication. During this office visit, Respondent told B.P. that if the oral dosages were not effectively managing her pain, he could provide her with an injection. Respondent asked B.P. if she had a ride home from this office visit. B.P. responded that she would be driving herself. Respondent stated that he could not give her an injection of pain medication unless she had a ride home. Respondent then offered to drive to B.P.'s home after he finished his work, and provide her with an injection there. B.P. agreed.
- 17. On or about July 23, 2004 at approximately 12:30 p.m., after Respondent had left his office, he arrived at B.P.'s residence in Lakeside, Arizona. During this visit, Respondent injected B.P. with a narcotic and touched B.P. in an inappropriate and sexual manner.

Respondent also offered his cell phone number to B.P. and encouraged her to telephone him.

Respondent did not record the July 23, 2004visit to B.P.'s home in her medical chart, although Respondent states that he habitually records in his charts all patient visits.

- 18. On or about July 25, 2004, Respondent telephoned B.P. at her home. Respondent asked her how she was doing and offered to come to her residence to provide her with another injection. B.P. declined the offer.
- 19. On or about July 26, 2004, B.P. filed a complaint with the Navajo County Sheriff's Office alleging that Respondent sexually assaulted her at her residence on July 23, 2004.
- 20. On or about July 27,2004, B.P. met with Detective Seivers, Show Low Police Department, to report her allegations.
- 21. On or about August 3, 2004, B.P. called Respondent's office and spoke to Respondent's nurse. According to the nurse, B.P. was very upset and requested to cancel her appointment which was scheduled for August 4, 2004. B.P. indicated to the nurse that she would be unable to make the appointment because she had a "family emergency." B.P. did not elaborate as to the nature of this "family emergency." Interestingly, the nurse's notes state that B.P. did visit her primary care physician at this time and received a prescription for Methadone from him.
- 22. On or about August 20, 2004 and September 10, 2004, Board staff interviewed Respondent. Respondent admitted that he may have rubbed B.P.'s neck and shoulders when he administered the pain medication but denied any inappropriate behavior.
- 23. The standard of care requires that in order for a physician to prescribe medication, the physician must obtain and record a detailed patient and family history and perform a minimum physical examination consisting of recording blood pressure, weight, allergies, and a urinalysis. Also, a patient needs to be informed of the risks and benefits of taking the medication.
 - 10. Respondent fell below the standard of care in that prior to prescribing medication

(narcotics) to B.P., he did not obtain and record a detailed patient and family history and perform a minimum physical examination consisting of recording blood pressure, weight, allergies, and a urinalysis. Further, Respondent did not inform B.P. of the risks and benefits of taking the medication.

- 11. The standard of care requires that a physician shall not make sexual advances or comments of a sexual nature to his patient.
- 12. Respondent fell below the standard of care when he made sexual advances and comments of a sexual nature to his patient, B.P.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. The Board possesses jurisdiction over the subject matter and over Respondent.
- 2. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact above, constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(q) ("[a]ny conduct or practice that is or might be harmful or dangerous to the health of the patient or the public").
- 3. The conduct and circumstances described in the Findings of Fact above, constitute unprofessional conduct pursuant to A.R.S. § 32-1401(27)(z)(ii) ("[m]aking sexual advances, requesting sexual favors or engaging in other verbal conduct or physical contact of a sexual nature.")

ORDER

Based on the above Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and under the authority granted to the Board by A.R.S. § 41-1092.07(F)(5) and A.R.S. § 32-1451(T)(2) and (3):

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, that license number 29044, issued to Keven D. Brockbank, M.D. for the practice of allopathic medicine in the State of Arizona, is surrendered and that he shall immediately return his license to the Board as a result of his unprofessional conduct, in regard to patients J.S. and B.P., including the following: (i) Failing to obtain and record a detailed patient and family history and perform a minimum physical examination consisting of

1 recording blood pressure, weight, allergies, and a urinalysis prior to prescribing medications 2 (narcotics) and failing to inform his patients of the risks and benefits of taking the medication; 3 and (ii) Making sexual advances and comments of a sexual nature. 4 DATED AND EFFECTIVE this 10 day of November, 2004. 5 6 7 ARIZONA MEDICAL BOARD [SEAL] 8 9 10 **Executive Director** 11 12 Original of the foregoing filed this day of Nov. My, 2004, with: 13 14 **Board Operations** Arizona Board of Medical Examiners 9545 East Doubletree Ranch Road 15 Scottsdale, Arizona 85258 16 Copy of the foregoing mailed by U.S. Certified Mail this day of Normal 17 18 Keven D. Brockbank, M.D. 19 5448 Highway 260, Suite 230 Lakeside, Arizona 85929-5732 20 Respondent 21 Copy of the foregoing mailed this day of Markow, 2004, to: 22 23 Ann-Marie Anderson Assistant Attorney General

doc#430453

Attorney General's Office

Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorneys for the State

1275 West Washington Avenue, CIV/LES

24

25

26